INTRODUCTION
Multifrequency tympanometry (MFT) consist of the analysis of tympanograms of different probe tones between 226 and 2000 Hz and measures individual vectors of complex admittance (Y), namely susceptance (B) and conductance (G) [1]. An important parameter of MFT is resonance frequency (RF). The middle ear transmission system comprises the mass and stiffness (volume and pressure of the air in the tympanic cavities, tonus of the middle ear muscles, and mechanical immittance of the cochlea). The change in balance between mass and stiffness causes changes in RF. If stiffness of the ear increases, RF is higher than normal. Conversely, if the mass of the ear increases, RF is lower than normal [2]. Because currently available literature is not sufficient, the clinical use of MFT has not become widespread.

Body mass index (BMI) was first described in 1835 by Qutelet. It is a numerical index calculated by dividing body weight (kg) by the square of height (m). Degrees of overweightness are classified according to this index. Age and sex do not affect BMI values [3]. According to the World Health Organization (the 2004 classification), people with BMI of ≥25 are overweight, those with BMI of ≥30 are obese, and those with BMI of <18.50 are underweight [4].

Obesity and overweight have been studied for many years. Obesity affects many systems in an organism and correlates with metabolic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. Studies based on imaging methods revealed that BMI correlated with body weight and visceral fat [5,6]. BMI has also been investigated in people with hearing loss, and although some studies detected a significant association, others obtained significant results only in women or found no association between BMI and hearing [7,8]. Because an increase in body weight causes an increase in submucosal and visceral fat tissues, in this study of adults with normal-hearing thresholds, BMI values and RF values of the middle ear were compared.

MATERIALS and METHODS
The study included 78 voluntary participants with normal otoscopic examinations and no complaints of hearing loss. The study was approved by the ethics committee of university’s Institutional Review Board (Project no: KA15/313) and was supported by the University Research Fund. All participants provided written informed consent.

OBJECTIVE: Multifrequency tympanometry (MFT) analyzes tympanograms obtained using different probe tones between 226 and 2000 Hz. An important parameter of MFT is resonance frequency (RF). Studies have recently demonstrated that the RF value can vary depending on many factors. To provide new data regarding MFT, middle ear RF values were investigated with regard to body mass index (BMI).

MATERIALS and METHODS: This study included 78 volunteers (i.e., 156 ears) aged 18-40 years who did not have hearing loss and whose otoscopic examinations were normal. Hearing thresholds were measured using pure tone audiometry, and RF values were recorded with immittance measurements. The participants were divided into the following three groups according to their BMI: <18.5 kg/m², Group 1; 18.5-24.9 kg/m², Group 2; and >25 kg/m², Group 3. The RF values were also analyzed.

RESULTS: Although there was no significant difference between Groups 1 and 2 in terms of RF values, a significant difference was observed between Groups 1 and 3 and between Groups 2 and 3.

CONCLUSION: In the light of these data, BMI values should be considered when middle ear RF values are assessed.
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The study group comprised 78 volunteers (i.e., 156 ears) with BMI of <18.5 kg/m² (Group 1), BMI of 18.5-24.9 kg/m² (Group 2), and BMI of >25 kg/m² (Group 3). The sample width was calculated in a pre-study statistical evaluation. Individuals with a chronic, immunologic, neurologic and otologic diseases, a history of drug use were excluded from the study. Patients with had normal hearing (hearing thresholds of ≤15 dB (ANSI 1988)) and a normal tympanic examination (peak impedance value was±50 daPa) and, acoustic reflexes between 500 and 4000 Hz at normal levels were included.

Hearing thresholds were determined by pure tone audiometry in individuals who underwent an otoscopic examination performed by an Ear Nose Throat (ENT) specialist. Pure tone audiometry was evaluated using the Interacoustics AC-40 clinical audiometer (Interacoustics A/S, DK-5610, Assens, Denmark) in a quiet room according to the industrial acoustic company standard. Air-conduction hearing thresholds were measured using TDH-39 standard headphones. Measurements at frequencies between 125 and 8000 Hz were performed. Bone conduction hearing thresholds were measured using the Radiicear B-71 bone vibrator at frequencies between 250 and 4000 MHz.

Immitansmetric measurements of all participants were performed using the Grason Stadler Tympstar Version 2 electroacoustic immittance meter. First, tympanogram and static admittance values were recorded using a 226-Hz probe tone. The tympanogram was obtained by changing the air pressure from +200 to -400 daPa at a ratio of 200 daPa/s. MFT was then performed in two steps. In the first step, standard tympanometric data such as static admittance, tympanometric peak pressure, and gradient value were assessed by presenting a probe tone at a constant frequency and changing the pressure between +200 and -400 daPa and then a tympanogram was drawn. In the second step, the RF value of the middle ear was assessed by maintaining a constant pressure and successively applying a stimulus within the frequency range of 200 and 2000 Hz to both ears at intervals of 50 Hz; the outputs were then recorded together with other immitansmetric values.

Sex, age, height, weight, BMI, and MFT values of the participants were noted and compared. Data were statistically analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows 16.0 software (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA) package. Statistical significance was accepted at p values of <0.05.

**RESULTS**

Each group comprised 26 participants, of which 13 were females and the other 13 were males. The age range of the females was 20-40 years, and that of the males was 18-39 years. Data regarding for sex and means and ranges of age, height, weight, BMI, and RF values for both ears were presented in Table 1. When RF values of the right and left ears were compared, no statistically significant difference was observed (p=0.874). Statistical analysis of the right and left ear RF values according to gender sex summarized in Table 2.

There was no statistically significant difference between RF values of males and those of females (p=0.612; p=1.0). In the analysis of the results, an intergroup analysis of the three BMI groups was performed on the basis of the total number of participants in each group regardless of sex and ear.

The mean RF value was 823.08±86.58 Hz for Group 1, 817.31±94.91 Hz for Group 2, and 771.15±112.61 Hz for Group 3. When RF values were compared between Groups 1 and 2, no significant difference was observed (p=0.747; Table 3). When Groups 1 and 3 were compared, a significant difference was observed (p=0.01; Table 4). When Groups 2 and 3 were compared, a significant difference was observed (p=0.026; Table 5). A negative correlation existed between BMI and RF values (p=0.015).

**DISCUSSION**

Multifrequency tympanometry is a technique for evaluating the immittance of the middle ear over a wide frequency range. RF values change in different pathologies when either the mass or stiffness of the middle ear system is affected. High-frequency probe tones provide valuable data regarding pathologies such as otosclerosis, ossicular chain deformations, middle ear malformations, cholesteatoma, middle ear tumors, and osteogenesis imperfecta, which increase the

---

**Table 1. Data regarding sex, and means and ranges for age, height, weight, BMI, and RF values for both ears**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Mean (Hz)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Year)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28.73</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>66.69</td>
<td>14.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>171.36</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Ear RF (HZ)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>802.56</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Ear RF (HZ)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>805.13</td>
<td>112.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Distribution of RF values with regard to sex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean (Hz)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right Ear</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>797.44</td>
<td>80.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>807.69</td>
<td>97.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Ear</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>805.13</td>
<td>112.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>805.13</td>
<td>113.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. RF analysis of the groups with BMI of <18.5 kg/m² and 18.5-24.9 kg/m²**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean (Hz)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5 kg/m² (group 1)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>823.08</td>
<td>86.58</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5-24.9 kg/m² (Group 2)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>817.31</td>
<td>94.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation; RF: resonance frequency

**Table 4. RF analysis of the groups with BMI of <18.5 kg/m² and >25 kg/m²**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean (Hz)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5 kg/m²</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>823.08</td>
<td>86.58</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25 kg/m²</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>771.15</td>
<td>112.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation; RF: resonance frequency

**Table 5. RF analysis of the groups with BMI of 18.5-24.9 kg/m² and >25 kg/m²**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean (Hz)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.5-24.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>817.31</td>
<td>94.91</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25 kg/m²</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>771.15</td>
<td>112.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation; RF: resonance frequency
stiffness of the middle ear system. In addition, studies have shown that RF values can vary according to many factors. However, we could not find any data regarding the influence of body weight on RF. This is the first study to demonstrate that RF values are decreased in patients with an increased BMI.

A study of Chinese and Caucasian people revealed that RF values of the Chinese people were higher than those of the Caucasian people. Thus, normalization values for different regions or ethnicities are necessary. In normalization studies of normal-hearing individuals reported in the literature, the mean RF value was between 871 and 1000 Hz. In a study conducted in pregnant women by Dag et al., significantly lower RF values were obtained in both ears compared with non-pregnant women of the same age range. The author suggested that this difference resulted from an increased endolymphatic fluid, edema in the middle ear mucosa, disruption of the osicular chain, or relaxation of ligaments during pregnancy.

The differences in RF normalization values in different populations reported in the literature are explained based on age and the differences in hereditary characteristics of the middle ear and outer ear structures. However, in studies that compared different age groups, no significant difference was observed with regard to RF values. Furthermore, in a study that investigated RF values according to the body position, although the difference was not significant, there was a difference between the RF value observed in the vertical position and that observed in the Trendelenburg position. In our study, we evaluated all participants in the sitting position, thereby eliminating changes that can occur in different positions. In addition, we obtained a more homogenous group of 18- to 40-year-old adults by eliminating physical and physiological effects that may occur in children and geriatrics. We did not observe a significant difference in RF values with regard to sex.

In our study, the difference in RF values between sex and right–left ears was not statistically significant. Therefore, all participants were evaluated without considering sex or right–left ear discrimination to investigate how RF values were affected according to BMI. We observed that as BMI values increased, RF values decreased. This finding suggested that alterations in body weight affect ear tissues. BMI is considered to be related to an increased intracranial pressure and body water rates. A previous study showed that an increase in intracranial pressure caused an increase in inner ear pressure. Increased body weights might affect the inner ear mechanics by increasing intracranial pressures. However, this is just a speculation based on current data. One limitation was that the present study was population based and included limited number of subjects. The other limitation was that intracranial pressure and the body water ratio were not measured. Future studies should focus on the mechanisms that influence BMI and middle ear RF.

CONCLUSION
Resonance Frequency (RF) values of the middle ear were affected by body weight. However, the mechanism of decreased RF values in obese is not clearly known. Therefore, further studies should focus on the possible causes that lead to abnormal RF.
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